Pregnane Glycosides from Caralluma russeliana

Mohammed Abdul-Aziz Al-Yahya,[†] Essam Abdel-Sattar,^{*,‡} and Eric Guittet[§]

Pharmacognosy Department, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, P.O. Box 2457, Saudi Arabia, Pharmacognosy Department, College of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo 11562, Egypt, and Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 91198 Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

Received October 20, 1999

The aerial parts of *Caralluma russeliana* yielded four new pregnane glycosides, russeliosides A–D (1–4), in addition to a known flavone glycoside, luteolin 4'-O- β -D-neohesperidoside. The structures of compounds 1–4 were elucidated using a combination of spectroscopic methods.

The genus *Caralluma* belongs to the family Asclepiadaceae, which comprises some 200 genera and 2500 species. Plants belonging to the genus *Caralluma* are normally leafless and succulent perennial herbs that grow wild in stony habitats.¹ Several members of the genus *Caralluma* have found medicinal uses in the treatment of rheumatism, diabetes, and leprosy and as antiseptics and disinfectants.² Previous studies on plants in the genus *Caralluma* have reported the isolation of several pregnane glycosides or their esters,³⁻⁷ of which some showed antitumor activity,^{8,9} and others were postulated as precursors of cardenolides.⁸ We report here the isolation and structure determination of four new pregnane glycosides (**1**–**4**) and a known flavone glycoside from the aerial parts of *Caralluma russeliana* (Courb. Ex Brongn.) Cufod.¹⁰



The *n*-butanol fraction of the ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *C. russeliana* was chromatographed repeatedly to

10.1021/np990530c CCC: \$19.00

afford five compounds, namely, four pregnane glycosides (1-4) and a known flavone glycoside. Compounds 1-4 gave a positive test for sterols (Liebermann-Burchard reagent), and all five compounds gave a positive test for sugars and/ or glycosides (Molish reagent).

Compound 1 was isolated as colorless needle crystals, mp 170–172 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –13°, and was assigned the molecular formula $C_{34}H_{56}O_{12}$, as shown by its ESMS data (m/z679 $[M + Na]^+$) in combination with the ¹³C NMR spectral data. Its IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3450 cm⁻¹) and the absence of carbonyl groups. Compound 1 showed two anomeric protons and carbons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.39 and 4.32 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 104.5 and 102.9 in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively, suggesting it to be a diglycoside. Acidic hydrolysis of 1 yielded two sugars, of which one was identified as glucose (TLC). The structure of the second sugar was determined as 3-O-methyl-6-deoxygalactose by comparison with NMR data reported in the literature.^{3,6,7} The signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.29 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 17.1 and at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.46 (3 H) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 57.3 were assigned to the secondary methyl (C-6') and OMe groups, respectively, in 3-O-methyl-6-deoxygalactose. The genin was identified as calogenin from a careful analysis of its NMR spectra (COSY, HMQC, HMBC) and by comparison with literature values.^{7,11-13} The double bond was positioned between C-5 and C-6 on the basis of long-range HMBC correlations between H-6 and C-4 and C-7 and between H-5 and C-4 and C-7. This genin is common to russeliosides A-D (1-4). The glycosidation site at C-3 was deduced from the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 as a result of the downfield shift of C-3 and the upfield shifts of C-2 and C-4.14 A long-range correlation between C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.9) and H-1' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.32) in the HMBC spectrum indicated the attachment of the 3-O-methyl-6-deoxygalactose sugar unit to C-3. The glucose unit was shown to be attached to C-20 from the downfield shift (+12 ppm) of the C-20 signal, relative to analogous data for compound 4. Further structural proof for 1 was achieved by observation of a long-range correlation between C-20 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.1) and H-1" $(\delta_{\rm H} 4.39)$ in the HMBC spectrum. Compound 1 was assigned with H-17 in the α -configuration ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70, dd, J =11.1, 4.7 Hz) and the side chain with an β -configuration, as deduced from the NOESY spectrum and by comparison with related compounds.^{5–7,15} From the foregoing evidence, the structure of compound 1 was established as calogenin **20**-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-**3**-O- β -D-(**3**-O-methyl-**6**-deoxy)galactoside and has been named russelioside A.

Compound **2** was isolated as colorless crystals, mp 202–204 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}{}_D$ –15.4°, with the molecular formula $C_{40}H_{66}O_{17}$ determined from its ^{13}C NMR and ESMS (m/z 841 [M + Na]⁺) data. Its IR spectrum was similar to that of com-

CCC: \$19.00 © 2000 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 08/09/2000

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (20)-2-2737784. Fax: (20)-2-3624105. E-mail: abdel-sattar@excite.com.

[†] King Saud University.

[‡] Cairo University.

[§] Centre National de la Recherche Scietifique.

pound 1. Acid hydrolysis of 2 showed the same aglycone and the same sugar units as those of 1 (glucose and 3-Omethyl-6-deoxygalactose). Compound 2 exhibited three anomeric protons and carbons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.35, 4.38, and 4.60 and δ_C 104.8, 104.7, and 103.4 in its 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra, respectively, suggesting it to be a triglycoside. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed the presence of an additional glucose unit attached through a $1 \rightarrow 4$ linkage to the 3-O-methyl-6-deoxygalactose unit. This was confirmed from the HMBC spectrum, which demonstrated a long-range correlation between C-1" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 104.67) and H-4' ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.16) and between C-4' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.5) and H-1" ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.60). Thus, compound **2** was deduced as calogenin $20-O-\beta$ -Dglucopyranosyl-3-O-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-(3-Omethyl-6-deoxy)]galactoside and has been named russelioside B.

Compound **3** was isolated as colorless crystals, mp 188– 190 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 39.3^{\circ}$, with the molecular formula $C_{34}H_{56}O_{12}$, as deduced from its ¹³C NMR and ESMS (m/z 679 [M + Na]⁺) data. Compound **3** showed only two anomeric protons and carbons at δ_{H} 4.42 and 4.67 and δ_{C} 105.5 and 106.8 in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively, suggesting it to be a diglycoside. The signal at δ_{C} 68.8 corresponding to C-20 appeared shifted upfield (–10.3 ppm) relative to those of compounds **1** and **2**, indicating the absence of glycosidation at C-20. The identity of the two sugars at C-3 and their linkages were similar to **2** and were confirmed from the ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC spectra. Thus, compound **3** was assigned as calogenin 3-*O*-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→4)- β -D-(3-*O*-methyl-6-deoxy)]galactoside and has been named russelioside C.

Compound **4** was obtained as amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ 42°, and was assigned a molecular formula of C₄₀H₆₆O₁₇ (¹³C NMR data and ESMS, m/2841 [M + Na]⁺). Compound 4 was shown to be a triglycoside, as it exhibited three anomeric protons and carbons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.59, 4.38, and 4.34 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 103.7, 105.1, and 105.9, respectively, in its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. In a manner similar to compound **3**, no sugar unit was found to occur at C-20, as indicated by the upfield shift (-12 ppm) of C-20 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 67.1) when compared to 1. The additional sugar moiety was identified as glucose and was shown to be linked to the other glucose unit through a $1 \rightarrow 6$ linkage, as indicated by a downfield shift (+7.7 ppm) of C-6" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 71.2) relative to the corresponding carbon in **2** ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.5). Further confirmation was achieved from the HMBC spectrum, which showed correlations between C-6" ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 71.2) and H-1" ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.38) and between C-1^{'''} ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 105.9) and H-6^{''} ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.15, 3.78). From the previous discussion and by comparison with data reported in the literature,^{5,6} compound 4 was assigned as calogenin 3-O- $[\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1 \rightarrow 6)- β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-(3-O-methyl-6-desoxy)]galactoside and has been named russelioside D.

A prominent feature in the ¹H NMR spectra of 1-4 was the very small value of the vicinal H-17 to H-20 coupling constants (see Experimental Section). A detailed analysis of the conformation of the side chain was performed on russelioside A (1), and NOESY cross-peaks were observed between H-17 and H-20, Me-18 and H-20, but not between Me-18 and Me-21. From these observations, it was concluded that the side chain is oriented with carbon C-20 having the *S* configuration.

The final isolate **5** was identified as luteolin 4'-O- β -D-neohesperidoside on the basis of the analysis of its spectral data and by comparison with NMR values reported in the literature.¹⁶

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were determined on an electrothermal melting point apparatus (Electrothermal Ltd., Southend-on-Sea, Essex, U.K.) and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured at ambient temperature, using a Perkin-Elmer 241 MC polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Pye Unicam SP3-300 instrument. UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 265 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were recorded in CD₃OD using a Bruker-400 NMR spectrometer (400.13 and 100.6 MHz, respectively) and a Varian Unity Plus 500 NMR spectrometer (500 and 125 MHz, respectively). Electrospray mass spectra (ESMS) were recorded on a quadrupolar Navigator mass spectrometer (Thermoquest).

Plant Material. The plant material was collected in March, 1995, from a rocky region south of Jeddah, in the southwest of Saudi Arabia. The plant was kindly identified by Dr. Sultan Ul-Abedin, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and a voucher specimen deposited in the herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, King Saud University (#11677-A).

Extraction and Isolation. The dried ground aerial parts (550 g) of *C. russeliana* were percolated with ethanol (5 L) at room temperature to give a dark greenish semisolid residue (76 g) after evaporation of the solvent. The ethanolic extract (55 g) was suspended in water and defatted with petroleum ether, then shaken with EtOAc (13 g) and n-BuOH (33 g). A portion of the *n*-BuOH fraction (7 g) was chromatographed on a Si gel column (6 \times 19 cm) using a mixture of CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O (78:20:2) to give four main fractions (A–D). Fraction A (250 mg) was rechromatographed on a Si gel column (3.5 imes17 cm) using 20% MeOH-CHCl₃ as solvent system to afford fractions A-1 and A-2. Fraction A-1 was purified by mediumpressure chromatography (MPLC) over C₁₈ Si gel (LiChroprep RP-18, 25–40 μ m, 2 \times 18 cm) using 25% MeOH–H₂O (flow rate 4 mL/min), to give 40 mg of compound 1. Compound 3 (17 mg) was isolated from fraction A-2 by chromatography over a Si gel column (3 \times 16 cm) using 10% MeOH–CHCl₃ as solvent system. Fraction B (3.5 g) yielded pure compound 2 (2.1 g) by crystallization from MeOH/diethyl ether. Fraction C (2.2 g) was treated with MeOH/diethyl ether to give an additional amount of compound 2 (700 mg). The mother liquor left from fraction C was evaporated, and the residue left was rechromatographed on a Si gel column (2.5×15 cm) using EtOAc-MeOH-H₂O (100:20:12) as solvent system to remove a contaminating flavonoid. Fraction C-1 (310 mg) was further purified on another Si gel column (2 \times 50 cm) using CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O (90:10:1), to afford compound **4** (105 mg). Luteolin 4'-O- β -D-neohesperidoside (70 mg) was precipitated as a yellowish amorphous powder upon concentration of fraction D.

Acid Hydrolysis of Compounds 1–4. Compounds **1-4** were hydrolyzed according to the procedure reported by Ahmed et al.⁵

Russelioside A (1): colorless needles, mp 170–172 °C (MeOH/ether); $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –13°(*c* 0.08, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3450 (OH), 2900, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.41 (1 H, br m, H-6), 4.39 (1 H, d, J= 7.9 Hz, H-1″), 4.32 (1 H, d, J= 7.9 Hz, H-1), 4.01 (1 H, br q, J= 6.4 Hz, H-20), 3.84 (1 H, dd, J= 2, 12 Hz, H-6″A), 3.82 (1H, br d, J= 3.2 Hz, H-4″), 3.68 (1 H, dd, J= 12 Hz, 5.4, H-6″B), 3.55 (1 H, m, H-3), 3.46 (3 H, s, MeO), 3.17 (1 H, dd, J= 7.9 Hz, H-3′), 3.13 (1 H, dd, J= 9.6 Hz, 4, H-2″), 2.42 (1 H, dd, J= 13 Hz, 4.3, H-4 α), 2.28 (1 H, bt, J= 12 Hz, H-4 β), 2.24 (1 H, m, H-7 α), 1.30 (3 H, d, J= 6.4 Hz, H-21), 1.29 (3 H, d, J= 6.2 Hz, H-6′), 1.11 (3 H, s, H-18), 1.02 (3 H, s, H-19); ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; ESMS m/z 679 [M + Na]⁺.

Russelioside B (2): colorless crystals, mp 202–204 °C (MeOH/ether); $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –15.4° (*c* 0.1, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3480 (OH), 2890, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.42 (1 H, br m, H-6), 4.60 (1 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1″), 4.38 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H-1″), 4.35 (1 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1′), 4.16 (1 H, br d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-4′), 4.01 (1 H, br q, J = 6.4 Hz, H-20), 3.85 (2 H, dd, J = 12, 3.5 Hz, H-6″, H -6″'A), 3.52 (1 H, m, H-3),

Table 1. ¹³C NMR Data for Compounds 1-4 in CD₃OD (100.6 MHz)a

carbon	1	2	3	4
1	38.7, t	38.7, t	40.8, t	38.6, t
2	30.8, t	31.1, t	33.2, t	31.5, t
3	79.9, d	80.5, t	82.5, t	80.8, t
4	39.7, t	40.0, t	42.1, t	39.3, t
5	140.9, s	140.9, s	143.3, s	141.6, t
6	123.3, d	123.6, d	125.5, d	123.8, d
7	28.4, t	28.7, t	30.6, t	28.8, t
8	38.4, d	38.3, d	40.3, d	39.1, d
9	47.8, d	48.1, d	50.4, d	48.5, d
10	38.0, s	38.9, s	41.0, s	41.1, s
11	22.3, t	22.5, t	24.4, t	22.7, t
12	41.6, t	41.9, t	42.8, t	40.4, t
13	49.0, s	48.6, s	51.5, s	49.0, s
14	86.1, s	86.4, s	88.2, s	86.5, s
15	33.9, t	34.2, t	36.2, t	34.5, t
16	20.1, t	20.6, t	21.3, t	19.5, t
17	58.1, d	58.9, d	60.2, d	58.5, d
18	15.4, q	15.7, q	17.6, q	15.9, q
19	20.3, q	20.3, q	22.4, q	20.7, q
20	79.1, d	79.3, d	68.8, d	67.1, d
21	21.4, q	21.7, q	24.0, q	22.9, q
	MDG ^b at C-3	MDG at C-3	MDG at C-3	MDG at C-3
1'	102.9, d	103.4, d	105.5, d	103.7,d
2'	71.3, d	72.1, d	74.2, d	72.2, d
3′	84.7, d	86.2, d	88.2, d	86.5, d
4'	68.8, d	75.5, d	77.6, d	75.9, d
5	/1.8, d	/2.1, d	/3.9, d	72.5, d
0	17.1, q	17.8, q	19.9, q	18.4, q
$CH_{3}O$	57.3, q	58.4, q	61.0, q	59.5, q
	Glc ^c at C-20	Glc (1→4)	Glc (1→4)	Glc (1→4)
1″	104.5, d	104.7, d	106.8, d	105.1, d
2"	75.4, d	75.7, d	78.4, d	76.6, d
3″	78.1, d	78.6, d	80.4, d	78.8, d
4"	71.4, d	71.8, d	74.4, d	72.7, d
5	/8./, d	78.3, d	80.7, d	78.2, d
6	62.8, t	63.5, t	65.5, t	/1.2, t
		Glc at C-20		Glc (1→6)
1‴		104.8, d		105.9, d
2		76.3, d		75.9, d
3		79.0, d		78.8, d
4		/2.3, d		12.5, d
5		/8.3, C		/ð.ð, đ
0		03.2, t		03.6, t

^a Multiplicities were determined by HMQC. ^b MDG: 3-O-methyl-6-deoxygalactose. ^c Glc: glucose.

3.51 (3 H, s, MeO), 3.16 (1 H, t, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2""), 2.45 (1 H, dd, J = 13.6, 4.2 Hz, H-4 α), 2.28 (1 H, br t, J = 13.6 Hz, H-4 β), 2.22 (1 H, m, H-7 α), 1.7 (1 H, dd, J = 11.1, 4.7 Hz, H-17), 1.28 $(2 \times 3 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 6.4, \text{H-6'}, \text{H-21}), 1.12 (3 \text{ H}, \text{ s}, \text{H-18}), 1.02 (3 \text{ H}, \text{ s})$ s, H-19); ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; ESMS *m*/*z* 841 [M + Na]⁺.

Russelioside C (3): colorless crystals, mp 188-190 °C (MeOH/ether); $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –39.3° (*c* 0.08, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3450 (OH), 2900, 1090 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.48 $(1 \text{ H, br m, H-6}), 4.67 (1 \text{ H, d}, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz, H-1''}), 4.42 (1 \text{ H, d}, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, H = 10^{-1} \text{ H}, H = 10^{$ J = 7.7 Hz, H-1'), 4.23 (1 H, brd, J = 2.8 Hz, H-4'), 4.07 (1 H, br q, J = 6.4 Hz, H-20), 3.94 (1 H, dd, J = 12, 2.3 Hz, H-6"A), 3.69 (1 H, dd, J = 12, 5.5 Hz, H-6"B), 3.59 (1 H, m, H-3), 3.57 (3 H, s, MeO), 3.37 (1 H, dd, J = 8.6 Hz, H-3'), 2.50 (1 H, dd, J = 13.1, 3 Hz, H-4 α), 2.35 (1 H, br t, J = 13.1 Hz, H-4 β), 2.28 $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ m}, \text{H-}7\alpha), 1.35 (3 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-}6'), 1.16 (3 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J)$ = 6.4 Hz, H-21), 1.12 (3 H, s, H-18), 1.10 (3 H, s, H-19); ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; ESMS m/z 679 [M + Na]⁺.

Russelioside D (4): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 42^{\circ}(c \, 0.09)$, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3450 (OH), 2920, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.42 (1 H, br m, H-6), 4.59 (1 H, d, J= 7.9 Hz, H-1"), 4.38 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H-1""), 4.34 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H-1'), 4.16 (1 H, br m, H-4'), 4.15 (1 H, dd, J =12.2, 1.7 Hz, H-6'A), 4.0 (1 H, br q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, H-20), 3.87 (1 H, dd, J = 12, 2.3 Hz, H-6^{'''}A), 3.78 (1 H, d, J = 12.2, 6.4 Hz, H-6"B), 3.65 (1 H, dd, J = 12, 5.6 Hz, H-6"B), 3.52 (1 H, m, H-3), 3.51 (3 H, s, MeO), 2.43 (1 H, dd, J = 13.5, 3 Hz, H-4 α), 2.27 (1 H, br t, J = 13.5 Hz, H-4 β), 2.21 (1 H, m, H-7 α), 1.30 (3 H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6'), 1.10 (3 H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-21), 1.06 (3 H, s, H-18), 1.03 (3 H, s, H-19); ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; ESMS m/z 841 [M + Na]⁺.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Dr. Meselhy R. Meselhy of the Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy at Cairo University, and to Marie-Thérèse Martin and Vincent Truffault, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Gif-Sur-Yvette, France, for running the NMR spectra and for valuable discussions.

References and Notes

- (1) Bailey, L. H. Manual of Cultivated Plants; The Macmillan Co.: New York, 1958; p 817.
- (2)Neuwinger, H. D. African Ethnobotany: Poisons and Drugs; Chapman & Hall: New York, 1994; pp 238–239.
 (3) Ahmed, V. U.; Usmanghani, K.; Rizwani, G. H. *J. Nat. Prod.* 1988,
- 51, 1092-1097.
- (4) Tanaka, T.; Tsukamoto, S.; Hayashi, K. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 229-237
- (5) Lin, L.-J.; Lin, L.-Z.; Gil, R. R.; Cordell, G. A.; Ramesh, M.; Srilatha, B.; Reddy, B.; Rao, A. V. N. A. *Phytochemistry* **1994**, *35*, 1549–1553. Halim, A. F.; Khalil, A. T. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *42*, 1135–1139. Qiu, S.-X.; Lin, L.-Z.; Cordell, G. A.; Ramesh, M.; Kumar, B. R.;
- (7)Radhakrishna, M.; Mohan, G. K.; Reddy, B. M.; Rao, Y. N.; Srinivas, B.; Thomas, N. S.; Rao, A. V. N. A. *Phytochemistry* **1997**, *46*, 333– 340.
- (8) Deepak, D.; Khare, A.; Khare, M. P. Phytochemistry 1989, 28, 3255– 3263.
- (9) Deepak, D.; Srivastav, S.; Khare, A. Fortschr. Chem. Org. Naturst. **1997**, 71, 169-325.
- (10) Chaudhary, S. A.; Al-Jowaid, A. A. Vegetation of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; Maramer Electronic Press: Riyadh, 1999; p 206
- (11) Srivastava, O. P.; Khare, A.; Khare, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1982, 45, 211-215.
- (12) Deepak, D.; Srivastav, S.; Khare, A. Phytochemistry 1997, 44, 145-151.
- (13) Prakash, K.; Sethi, A.; Deepak, D.; Khare, A.; Khare, M. P. Phy-
- (14) El Sayed, K. A.; Halim, A. F.; Zaghloul, A. M.; McChesney, J. D.; Stone, M. P.; Voehler, M.; Hayashi, K. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, *39*, 395– 403
- (15) Luo, S.-Q.; Lin, L.-Z.; Cordell, G. A.; Xue L.; Johnson, M. E. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *34*, 1615–1620. Ramesh, M.; Rao, Y. N.; Kumar, M. R.; Mohan, G. K.; Kumar, B. R.;
- (16)Rao, A. V. N. A.; Krishna, M. R.; Reddy, B. M. Biochem. System. Ecol. **1998**, 27, 85-86.

NP990530C